ROXSD: The ROXANNE Multimodal and Simulated Dataset for Advancing Criminal Investigations

Petr Motlicek[1,3], Erinc Dikici[2], Srikanth Madikeri[1], Pradeep Rangappa [1], Miroslav Jánošík[2],
Gerhard Backfried[2], Dorothea Thomas-Aniola[2], Maximilian Schürz[2], Johan Rohdin[3],Petr Schwarz[3]
Marek Kováč[4], Květoslav Malý[4], Dominik Boboš[4], Mathias Leibiger[5], Costas Kalogiros[6]
Andreas Alexopoulos[6], Daniel Kudenko[7], Zahra Ahmadi[7], Hoang H. Nguyen[7], Aravind Krishnan[8]
Dawei Zhu[8], Dietrich Klakow[8], Maria Jofre[9], Francesco Calderoni[9], Denis Marraud[10], Nikolaos Koutras[11]
Nikos Nikolau[12], Christiana Aposkiti[13], Panagiotis Douris[13], Konstantinos Gkountas[13]
Eleni Sergidou[14], Wauter Bosma[14], Joshua Hughes[15], Hellenic Police Team[16]

[1] Idiap Research Institute, Martigny, Switzerland, [2] HENSOLDT Analytics GmbH, Austria,
[3] Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic, [4] Phonexia, Czech Republic
[5] ZITiS, Germany, [6] Aegis IT Research, Germany, [7] University of Hannover, Germany
[8] University of Saarland, Germany, [9] Transcrime, Universita Cattolica del Sacro Cuore di Milano, Italy
[10] AIRBUS Defence and Space, France, [11] ADDITESS Cyprus, [12] ITML, Greece, [13] KEMEA, Greece
[14] Netherlands Forensic Institute, [15] Trilateral Research Ltd, England, [16] Hellenic Police Team, Greece

`{petr.motlicek, srikanth.madikeri, pradeep.rangappa}@idiap.ch`

Abstract

The ROXANNE project, conducted under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme, aimed to revolutionize criminal investigations by integrating speech, language, and video technologies with criminal network analysis. Despite the success in technology development, the project faced evaluation challenges due to the scarcity and legal restrictions surrounding real-world criminal activity datasets. In response, we introduce ROXSD, a simulated dataset of communication in organized crime. ROXSD is a set of wiretapped conversations (collected through communication service providers) between drug dealing suspects, following a realistic screenplay (incl. realistic conditions and constraints of a real investigation) prepared by Law Enforcement Agencies (LEAs). With a focus on multimodality and multilinguality, the dataset comprises 20 hours of telephone and video conversations involving 104 speakers, and is further aligned with ground-truth annotations for each modality involved, enabling precise evaluation and development of technologies. In addition, the multimodal data are enhanced with metadata and prior knowledge (e.g., suspects' biometric profiles) which is typically available as a result of lawfully intercepted communication. This paper introduces ROXSD as a pivotal resource for advancing technology in criminal research (specifically in domain of speech, text and network analysis). ROXSD not only facilitates in the domain of technology development and evaluation but also showcases the potential of simulated datasets in advancing the field of organized crime analytics, emphasizing the importance of such datasets in the absence of comprehensive real-world alternatives.

1. Introduction

ROXANNE ("Real-time network, text, and speaker analytics for combating organized crime") was a research and innovation project funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme, running from 2019 to 2022. Its aim was to en-
hance criminal investigations through the extraction of information coming from data in multiple modalities (audio, images and video, text, and additional traffic data) which are typically accessed from communication service providers. A novel platform was developed which combined speech, language and video technologies as well as criminal network analysis in a flexible and modular workflow. The platform[1] is composed of numerous components running state-of-the-art technologies such as speaker diarization, speaker clustering and identification, automatic speech recognition, named entity recognition, mention disambiguation, topic detection, face detection, scene characterization, social influence analysis, outlier detection, community detection and link prediction [1].

The core technologies of the ROXANNE platform rely heavily on machine learning approaches in which large amounts of data are required to accurately train the associated models. The data-driven models in the ROXANNE platform were mostly trained using standard publicly available data. To objective assess the platform, however, the available datasets were found insufficient for a number of reasons: (i) the (very small number of) data sets related to criminal activities which are publicly available contain only a fraction of the modalities of interest in ROXANNE. Therefore, demonstrating the interplay of different technologies was not possible; (ii) data collected from open sources (i.e., accessible through the web and social media platforms or collected by other research projects) had various legal and ethical issues which prevented their use; (iii) the project's LEA partners were prohibited from transferring any lawfully intercepted data (such as wire-tap recordings, images and videos from their criminal cases) to the technical partners due to legal, privacy and operational reasons.

The ROXANNE Simulated Dataset (ROXSD) was created as a consequence of the drawbacks mentioned above. It is a simulated dataset [2] of typical communication in networks of or-

---

[1] `https://www.roxanne-euproject.org/platform`
[2] Simulated in the sense that all recordings were made with actual

ganized crime to facilitate technology development, evaluation and demonstration activities in criminal research. The dataset is built upon the principles of multimodality and multilinguality, and special attention was paid to provide the ground-truth annotations of all modalities involved.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides an overview of previous activities and projects, Section 3 details how ROXSD was designed and created, and then introduces the ROXSD scenario. The following Sections 4-6 are dedicated to the three main components of ROXSD, namely the calls, video and text subsets, respectively. Section 7 touches upon the ethical measures taken during the data collection process. Section 9 concludes this paper with a summary and some remarks.

The ROXSD is freely accessible for European civil security researchers and developers as well as LEAs through the ROX-ANNE website[3].

2. Relevant Datasets prior to ROXANNE

The number of datasets related to organised crime which are publicly accessible are rather limited. In [2], the authors introduce covert networks, a collection of past cases' networks that are collected and made publicly available. This includes a subset with networks among the involved individuals available together with some of their attributes (i.e., name, gender and role). Notable examples include the networks of financial flows from the Madoff fraud case[4] and the network of terrorists involved in the 9/11 attack. In a very recent work, [3] present a burglary dataset provided by Israel National Police. This dataset comprises approximately 30,000 solved burglary cases recorded between 2012 and 2022 in Israel. It includes critical data such as anonymized crime and offender identifiers, timestamps, the number of offenders involved in each case, and the crime site's min-max scaled coordinates, which prevent accurate location retrieval. It also provides embeddings for case summaries, descriptions of stolen items, and victim testimonies if available. These datasets refer to criminal activities of various types; however, their relational information is limited to contacts and other forms of interactions. An early version of the dataset was described in [4]. This paper describes the final dataset having more data and modalities.

3. Data Collection Setup

As introduced in Section 1, the need for collecting a dedicated dataset originated from the necessity to test and evaluate all of the ROXANNE technologies (individually as well as jointly) together with their interplay in the ROXANNE platform, and to be able to demonstrate the results and outcomes in a realistic criminal investigation scenario without having to deal with legal and ethical issues that could affect research activities involving sensitive data. As such, ROXSD was designed and built on three essential pillars:

- Realism: The data resemble what is typically collected in a real investigation as much as possible, both qualitatively and contextually. Actual law enforcement equipment (i.e., established through a communication service provider (CSP)) was used to collect the data.

- Multimodality: The data contain multiple data types (modalities) including speech, images/video and text.

- Multilinguality: The communication between individuals is carried out in multiple languages, in native and accented forms.

3.1. Planning and Execution

The initial story (screenplay) of ROXSD was based on a draft scenario prepared by the National Drug Headquarters (NPC) of the Police of the Czech Republic. This draft scenario did not exactly match any real cases, but was inspired by several cases and their own professional experience[5]. Based upon this draft, the main characters and roles in the story, their motivation and relationships were defined in more detail by a task force, composed of several partners of the ROXANNE project.

The collection of data was carried out in three phases between 2020-2022. At each phase, the ROXSD scenario was extended with a follow-up story involving more characters, more data modalities, and more challenging conditions in terms of data quality and communication behavior. In its final version, ROXSD contains 104 individuals and data in speech, video, text modalities as well as call data records. We present the details of data collection for each corresponding data modality in Sections 4-6 below.

Voluntary members of the ROXANNE project partners participated in the data collection process. No biometric data other than the participants' voices were collected during the data recordings and only simulated (fake) personal data were associated to the characters in the ROXSD story. The participants were asked to choose a non-real name for the characters which they role-played, roughly matching their own nationality (hence, their accent). They were given a summary of the conversation and a number of keywords to mention, but otherwise were left free to structure their conversations. Some participants prepared the conversation script in advance while others chose to improvise. All conversations took place during a set of recording sessions, at various (indoor and outdoor) locations. Participants playing the main characters were accompanied by an assistant who helped them with the communication content and performed quality assurance along the way.

Besides creating the scenario and collecting the data, a great amount of effort was spent for the preparation of accompanying metadata information and ground-truth annotations. This includes, for instance, manually diarizing the audio, determining the speaker and language labels for all segments, manually transcribing the conversations, and annotating the named entities and topics. Special attention was paid to make sure that the metadata set is coherent with the fictional story and the improvised content, and that it is also consistent within itself.

3.2. ROXSD Scenario

The ROXSD story is built on a fictional drug dealing setup in which a group of criminals communicate with each other over the telephone by calls, text and video messaging as well as over the web. Their phone calls are intercepted (wire-tapped) by several (fictional) police organizations. The data also include

---

equipment and all communication was modelled after actual conversations, except not by criminals but by project members acting various roles.

[3]https://www.roxanne-euproject.org/data
[4]https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Madoff_investment_scandal

---

[5]To get an insight about the range of criminal activities dealt with by NPC, the interested reader is encouraged to read their public annual reports, available in Czech and English: https://www.policie.cz/clanek/vyrocni-zpravy-annual-reports-jahresbericht.aspx

Figure 1: Criminal network structure in the ROXSD calls subset. Each individual who took part in the calls subset are represented with a person icon together with their gender, speaker ID and story name. Visit `https://www. roxanne-euproject.org/data` for better resolution.

a number of "innocent" persons[6] communicating with the criminals and with each other. As the story progresses, the mobile phone of one of the suspects is seized, which reveals some images and videos that are relevant to the case. In addition, the communication of these persons over a fictitious site in the dark web is monitored.

The criminal network is depicted as a multinational organisation in which a number of national subgroups communicate and do "business" with each other. The members of each subgroup speak their native language among themselves and switch to (possibly bad and heavily accented) English when they communicate with other subgroups. In the beginning of the story, the wire-tapped communication reveals a network of a relatively simple structure: a central character in the Czech-speaking group is in touch with a single "point of contact" (PoC) from each of the subgroups. The PoCs of each subgroup do not talk to each other. As time progresses, some characters disappear from the story, new characters are introduced, the central roles are taken over by other characters, and the subgroups start communicating and doing business with each other. This leads to a more complicated network structure which is depicted in Figure 1.

3.3. Realistic Scenario

Based on the feedback from LEA's, we also created a scenario that matched typical behavior captured by real criminal network. In this scenario, criminals are found to be exchanging sim cards rather than the telephone numbers. We modified the metadata such that only two of the enrolled speakers have two telephone numbers, and all other enrolled speakers have only one telephone number. Their country code is preserved from the previous scenario. Any unknown speakers are assigned a free phone number available or a random number with a fixed country code. If there are multiple speakers in one side of the call, phone numbers of one of the speakers is assigned randomly.

---

[6]Individuals who are not suspects and who are not connected to the case.

4. Calls Subset

The calls subset of ROXSD contains audio recordings of the telephone calls made within the (fictional) criminal network. The majority of calls contain a conversation between two (or more) criminals, so called a target call. But there are also non-target calls made between a criminal and an innocent (non-target) character, or between two innocent characters. The topic of each call was preset and the calls were realized by voluntary participants who role-played those characters.

In the first phase of the data collection, Twilio Cloud Communication Platform[7] was used to mimic the interception mechanism. The platform establishes the connection between the communicating parties and stores a stereo recording of each call along with the caller/receiver and date/time information. For the second and third phases, the ROXANNE Consortium had the chance to use real interception equipment. In cooperation with a CSP and with legal permission, two mobile phones and an SIP[8] phone were intercepted. The mobile phones were used by two main characters (criminals) in the story whereas the SIP phone was used as a shared device by several people, some of whom were being investigated as suspects. The remaining participants used their own telephone (mobile/landline) devices. The usage of real equipment also allowed obtaining the Call Data Records (CDR) from the service provider alongside the audio recordings. All real identifiers captured as part of the CDR, including the telephone numbers, date/time information, etc., were pseudonmyized.

The phone calls were collected with the objective of creating recordings that resemble a real, natural conversation between the communicating parties. The detailed scenario was not disclosed and the topics of the non-target calls were not enforced.

Some of the recordings in the dataset were especially set up to represent calls of an exceptional nature, such as multiple callers from one mobile device, acoustic noise in the background, change of speakers and/or language in the middle of a conversation, etc. During the course of the phone call, any keywords which were spontaneously uttered during the conversation (e.g. references to third parties) were manually marked and later entered into metadata.

4.1. Data Size and Statistics

The ROXSD calls subset contains 432 intercepted telephone conversations recorded into 481 audio files (recordings), encoded in 8kHz, 16-bit, stereo[9] wave format.

The data is composed of different types of calls: *standard* phone calls in which the caller dials the receiver's telephone number, *teleconference* calls in which the caller calls a third person while already talking to the receiver, and calls that are made to a *web conferencing* service (Zoom, Webex) where the callers dial a common telephone number (the service's dial-in number) in order to talk to each other.

There are more recordings (481) than number of calls (432) because some calls were intercepted multiple times from different sides of the conversation: 270 calls are intercepted only on the caller's side, 111 are intercepted only on the receiver's side,

---

[7]`https://www.twilio.com`, hosted on premises of one of the project partners.

[8]SIP is a signaling protocol which is used to create session-oriented connections between two or more endpoints in an IP network.

[9]3 of the 481 files contain only a single channel due to a technical issue with the interception equipment at the time of recording.

Table 1: Distribution of recordings across call types and connection statuses.

	Standard	Teleconf.	Web conf.	Total
Successful	418	3	6	427
Failed	17	-	6	23
Dropped	27	3	2	31
Total	462	6	13	481

and 45 calls on both sides. There is an additional teleconference call which was intercepted 10 times.

This results in some of the recordings being very similar in content. However, they are not an exact copy of each other, because of the following reasons: *(i)* The interception begins on the caller's side as soon as the caller finishes dialing the receiver's telephone number. Hence, the ring tone as well as any sounds/speech which the caller's phone picks up before the connection is established are captured by the intercepted recording coming from the caller's side. For the same reason, the caller's intercepted recording is a few seconds longer than that of the receiver's. There are also cases where, although both sides are intercepted, the receiver's phone is not reachable, therefore there is no recording of the receiver. In such cases, either the receiver's voice box message or the operator's out-of-reach message can be heard in the caller's recording. *(ii)* For teleconference calls involving three parties, a new interception is initiated when the caller calls the third party in order to connect them into the existing conversation. *(iii)* For web conferencing where multiple parties call the same (operator) telephone number, each party's interception begins when they join the conference room. *(iv)* The audibility of speech in both recordings can be different from each other due to the actual phones used, the background or microphone noise introduced by each side, or issues with the equipment intercepting that side. These inexact copies of the same phone conversation are intentionally preserved in the dataset in order to reflect the nature of interception in the real world.

A call is considered to be *successful* if the intercepted recording contains the full conversation between the caller and the receiver. There are some *failed* calls in which a connection cannot be established between the parties, mostly containing only operator or a voicemail box message. Finally, a *dropped* call happens when the call is picked up but the connection or recording is cut off before the parties finish their conversation. The latter is mainly caused by technical problems related to the data collection and interception process. The failed and dropped calls are also kept in the dataset, as such artefacts represent a realistic depiction of the data acquired in a typical investigation.

The total duration of the 481 recordings is 18h 28min. The shortest call is 0 sec long (failed call) and the longest call is 12min 15sec long. With the help of an automatic voice activity detector (VAD), the total duration of speech activity is calculated to be 19h 34min. This difference occurs partly due to the buffer of silence (sometimes close to 1 second) which is left by the VAD system on both ends of a speech segment, and partly due to the fact that the speakers often interrupt each other in a telephone/teleconference conversation, resulting in overlapped speech when both channels are concerned. Figure **??** shows the histogram of recordings with respect to their audio duration.

There are 104 speakers (characters) in the ROXSD calls subset. 25 of them are target persons, 60 are non-target persons and 18 are unknown characters (including operators, etc.). Apart from the teleconference and web conference calls which

contain three or more speakers, there are also calls in which the caller or the receiver hands over the phone to another person. In some other calls, the entire conversation is carried out by a speaker who is not the owner of that phone number. Hence, the speakers in a particular call may not be the regular speakers/owners of the telephone numbers making that call.

In addition to these languages there are a few single phrases or brief sentences uttered in Spanish and Croatian. The subset exhibits a multilingual nature not only across the calls, but also within the calls. In several recordings, the conversation starts in one language and then continues in a different language (code switching). In others, the language of conversation changes when the phone is handed over to another person. There are also calls where the speakers switch the language all of a sudden for a brief moment (typically only for a few sentences, mostly to talk about "business"), and then switch back again.

4.2. Metadata

The ROXSD calls subset is complemented with an extensive set of ground truth information, which will be referred to as "metadata". The information in the metadata set is pseudonymized wherever possible, and in other cases, manually prepared / determined based on the circumstances of the fictional story. The metadata set can be semantically grouped into four categories: Speaker and Device Metadata, Call Metadata, Transcripts, and NLP Annotations.

The *Speaker and Device Metadata* category consists of speaker-related and device-related attributes of the characters (speakers) in ROXSD. For each speaker, information such as character name and label, gender, telephone number and device type are provided.

The *Call Metadata* category consists of information which could be obtained by the interception system through the telecommunication operator via the Call Data Records (CDR) and the Base Transceiver System (BTS) records. For each audio file, information such as filename, telephone number of the caller/receiver, IMEI of the caller/receiver, date and time of the call, languages spoken in the call are provided.

The *Transcripts* category contains manual transcriptions of the conversation for each call (audio recording) in their original language. In order to obtain the transcripts, first the stereo audio recordings were split into single (mono) channels. Each channel was sent through a VAD to mark the begin-end timestamps of the boundary of the segments containing speech. Speaker and language labels were added to each detected segment, in such a way that a single segment is composed of a single speaker speaking a single language. The segments were then transcribed by native speakers, who also checked and made the necessary corrections if needed. The transcribers followed a transcription rules guideline document, which is shared along with the dataset.

The manual transcription task itself was carried out using Audacity[10] and the outputs of transcription are saved in a text file (with a .lab file extension, hence called a "lab" file). In the end, the transcriptions of the two channels are combined together to obtain a single lab file per call, as shown in Figure 2. 94% of all calls in ROXSD are manually transcribed.

The manual transcripts of non-English conversations were additionally translated into English using an automatic translation service. The outcome of the automatic translation was manually checked against errors and a similar lab file was gen-

---

[10]https://audacityteam.org

```
0.000000    0.471126    B:ru01M:english:yes?
1.643194    2.642899    A:cs01M:english:where are you?
3.677077    5.435180    B:ru01M:english:I've already gone home.
6.147613    9.135239    A:cs01M:english:what? we have to work. where are you going?
9.411019   15.753978    B:ru01M:english:I'm going to [Kaserak] but I'm not alone.
                        he leaves and gives me weed. I was next to [Hlavák]. two
                        minutes ago.
16.236594  16.937537    A:cs01M:english:okay.
```

Figure 2: Lab file structure for an example conversation.

```
transcript: "
0.250000 0.635000   B:fr01M_NT:english:yes?
1.730000 4.365000   A:cs05M_NT:english:hey {Yan|PARTY} this is {Petr|PARTY}. is it you?
3.820000 7.685000   B:fr01M_NT:english:ah! hey hey hey {Petr|PARTY}. yeah yeah sure sure
                    sure it's me.
7.850000 26.656508  A:cs05M_NT:english:hey excuse me. well I hope it's not too late for
                    you  that  I  make  this  call  but  I  heard  from  actually  from
                    {James|THIRD_PARTY} that you were checking the latest bestsellers at
                    the  book  store  in {Brno|LOCATION} {today|TIME} and  I  thought  maybe
                    you could give me some advice on what to read.
"
```

Figure 3: YAML file structure for an example conversation.

erated containing the English translations.

Finally, the *NLP Annotations* category contains manual annotations of the English and German calls with respect to the following natural language processing (NLP) tasks:

Named Entity Recognition (NER): All person names, location names and times that are mentioned in the conversations are annotated. The location entity type includes geopolitical entities (countries, cities, states) as well as non-geopolitical entities such as hotels, streets, and restaurant names, among others. The time entity type includes absolute dates as well as times smaller than a day.

Mention Disambiguation: This task involves differentiating the annotated person names by assigning them to one of the parties (caller or receiver), or a third party who is mentioned in the call. This information helps the investigator understand the nicknames of the suspects being intercepted and their connections to other unknown persons/suspects. The third parties (mentions) are indicated in Figure 1 as nodes connected to the (real) characters with dotted green lines.

Relation Extraction: Relations from conversations are annotated. Currently, two types of relations are supported: the *Current Location* and *Movement* relations. The current location relation provides information about the current wherabouts of people or groups (e.g., "I am in Brno"). The movement relation tracks the movement of people from one location to another (e.g., "I am heading to Paris").

Topic Detection: Each conversation is assigned to one of the following topics: Drugs, Work Conversations, Family-Friend Conversations, Money, Meeting, Other. The NLP annotations together with the transcription output are represented in a YAML file, as shown in Figure 3.

5. Image and Video Subset

In order to illustrate the interest of exploiting the visual data, ROXSD was complemented with images and videos representative of files which are likely to be found on a seized smartphone or computer, or simply downloaded from the Internet. These include videos of certain objects or locations which are recorded by individuals with their voices being heard in the background, and images in which multiple persons can be seen together. The captured images and videos enable the evaluation of face and scene matching technologies of ROXANNE to enrich the


Figure 4: An example face observation (the second image is selected for enrolment) and the pseudonymized videos.

speaker network with additional nodes and edges. For instance, an edge is added between two speaker nodes when both persons are found (either through their voice or face) in the same video.

5.1. Data Collection

The ROXSD image and video subset contains three groups of documents originating from different sources.

The first group of videos consists of those recorded by the project partners. 15 individuals (14 of which are the same characters as in the calls subset) were asked to take video clips in 8 different outdoor locations while speaking. They were asked to mention some other characters' names and the locations from the ROXSD story. Videos were captured with the participant's own smartphone, resulting in a diversity of capturing devices. This group of files is aimed at evaluating the scene matching performances and the ability to match voices across devices (in particular, to match voices captured from tapped calls on one side and from videos captured from a smartphone on the other side).

The second group of videos was added to enable the evaluation of face matching technology, while respecting data protection regulations on processing biometric personal data. In practice, the SimSwap face-swapping framework[11] was adapted to consistently pseudonymize faces observed in the Realtime Selfie Video Stabilization Dataset by [5]. The SimSwap framework takes as input a video and a target face. It then consistently replaces the faces observed in the video by the target face. Fake faces generated by the StyleGAN2 model[12] were used as target faces, thus resulting in pseudonymized videos. A set of 47 identities was selected in the original dataset for which at least two different videos were available. For each identity, one video was then selected as a source for the enrolled face picture and the other(s) as videos to be processed (resulting in a total of 90 videos). To add complexity to the face matching process, the enrolled picture for each identity was selected as follows: first a face clusterization and cluster summarization process was run on the video, resulting in the selection of 5 representative face observations of the person: the first observation corresponding to the cluster's face centroid, the second one to the face observation the furthest from the centroid (but still in the cluster). This second picture was selected as the enrolment picture for each identity. Figure 4 depicts an example of the two pictures and the corresponding video frames.

To constitute the third group, 4 characters of the ROXSD story were additionally asked to capture their selfie images and videos in 4 different locations in a setting that matches the ROXSD story, making up a total of 14 files. The faces involved in these images and videos were also pseudonymized.

---

[11] https://github.com/neuralchen/SimSwap
[12] https://github.com/NVlabs/stylegan2

5.2. Data Size and Statistics

There are a total of 154 videos in the first group of videos. In total, the images and videos contain information about 51 unique face identities, 15 unique voices and 12 unique locations. The total duration of videos is 1h 38min, with the following distribution of speech in 5 different languages: English (1h 8min 18sec), German (7min 24sec), Czech (1min 1sec), Greek (3min 33sec) and French (1min 6sec).

5.3. Metadata

A selection of 21 of the German speaking videos, and 23 of the English speaking videos were transcribed and annotated for NER. A ground truth file is provided along with each image or video, containing: *(i)* the list of speakers heard in the video, *(ii)* the list of faces observed in the image or video, and *(iii)* the list of scenes observed in the image or video.

6. Text Subset: ROXHOOD

The exploitation of publicly available social media sources (platforms, websites or forums) was a very challenging activity in terms of following the European and national legal[13], ethical, and human rights standards. One of the key challenges for data protection and ethics in social media landscapes is the usage of personal or sensitive data. In order to avoid any legal and ethical issues arising from accessing and working with publicly available social media datasets, the team decided to simulate the social media environment. To do so, a mock-up forum website was set up and a tool was created for collecting and indexing the available data within this environment. The result of this collection setup is the so-called ROXHOOD subset.

6.1. Data Collection

ROXHOOD was envisioned as a forum where legal and illegal content coexist, and where information about several topics is exchanged. It is based on Misago[14], a fully featured forum application developed to follow modern practices and trends currently used in web software development. It features complete moderation allowing admin-approved moderators to edit, move, hide, approve, delete, or close user posted content. This also includes the option to delete or block user accounts or avatars.

ROXHOOD is a fully featured internet forum solution where registered users are allowed to start a new thread, comment and perform searches as well as to set up private threads. The private threads feature allows users to create threads visible only to them and those other users whom they have invited. Registered users can write messages in text format, upload files of various formats (e.g. docx, jpeg, mpeg), include/provide links, comment on threads below the initial post, and mention each other (via @usernameX).

To collect data from ROXHOOD in a structured format, the ROXANNE crawler, RoCraw, was developed. RoCraw is a site-specific solution written in python which extracts data/info about the threads created in ROXHOOD and stores them in an Elasticsearch instance. The results can be exported as a JSON array, as shown in Figure 5. The majority of the partners created an account (user registration, which contained a fake nickname – not necessarily the same name as their character in the ROXSD story – and a fake e-mail address) and provided data

---

```
1  {
2      "took" : 0,
3      "timed out" : false,
4      "_shards" : {
5          "total": 1,
6          "successful" : 1,
7          "skipped" : 0,
8          "failed" : 0
9      },
10     "hits" : {
11         "total" : {
12             "value" : 1,
13             "relation" : "eq"
14         },
15         "max-score" : 1.0,
16         "hits" : [
17             {
18                 "_index" : "selenium_crawler-11.18.2021",
19                 "_type" : "_doc",
20                 "_id" : "-yLmMn0BNGC3QtrMEj5m",
21                 "_score" : 1.0,
22                 "_source" : {
23                     "thread_url" : "https://forum.roxanne.itml.gr/t/welcome-thread/1/",
24                     "thread_title" : "Welcome Thread",
25                     "num_of_posts" : 1,
26                     "posts" : [
27                         {
28                             "user" : "admin",
29                             "date" : "November 18, 2021, 11:54 AM",
30                             "url" : "https://forum.roxanne.itml.gr/t/welcome-thread/1/post/1/",
31                             "has image" : false,
32                             "has_attachment" : false,
33                             "attach_urls" : [ ],
34                             "images_urls" : [ ],
35                             "message_urls" : [ ],
36                             "contains_url" : false,
37                             "message" : "This is the welcome thread"
38                         }
39                     ]
40                 }
41             }
42         ]
43     }
44  }
```

Figure 5: ROXHOOD crawler JSON output.

(mostly text but also some images and videos) across the available public threads.

6.2. Data and Metadata; Size and Statistics

A total number of 333 public posts by 48 registered users exists in the ROXHOOD subset. These posts are organised in 32 different threads. The ROXHOOD metadata is composed of a set of descriptions accompanying each forum post (message), such as thread and message identifiers, date and time of the message, flags defining whether the message contains a URL or an image, as well as the attachments of that message.

The collected dataset was processed using NLP techniques (including NER) to define metrics which describe the content and the type of entities. ROXHOOD includes mostly text messages and also some videos containing speech in English. Across 299 posts which were analysed, 110 entities are present with the following breakdown: 21 person(s), 49 location(s) and 40 time. Finally, regarding the content of English Videos, across 23 videos, 107 utterances and 91 entities have been defined. Again, for the entities of person, location, time the numbers are 44, 26 and 21, respectively.

7. Privacy and Ethics in Data Collection and Processing

LEA officers are frequently hesitant when it comes to the sharing of data, often due to security concerns. In cases where LEA officers are indeed willing to share data, there is a general lack of legal support to complete the necessary documentation (i.e., data protection impact assessments, as required for the processing of criminal data under Art.35, GDPR, and controller-processor contracts). The oversight of research activities requires specific expertise, which are very different from the usual activities that a LEA data protection or legal support officer might be asked to advise on. Lawful and ethical sharing of LEA data was conducted in other parts of the ROXANNE

---

[13]The primary legal framework applicable is the GDPR which replaced the EU Data Protection Directive.
[14]https://github.com/rafalp/Misago

Table 2: Tasks evaluated on ROXSD data and the corresponding performance metrics.

Field	Technology	Method	Performance (metric)
Speech	Speaker Diarization	Energy-based VAD + VBx [6]	14.8% (DER)
	Closed set Speaker Identification [7]	ResNet [8] architecture	96.9% (Acc)
	Open set Speaker Identification	ResNet architecture	89.7% (Acc)
	Gender ID	x-vector [9] based	75.1% (Acc)
	ASR (English), ASR (German)	XLSR-LFMMI [10] + 4gram LM	28.4% (WER [11]), 35.9%
	Word boosting (English)	Lattice Rescoring [12]	43.2% (F1-score on NER)
	Word boosting (German)	Lattice Rescoring	30.5% (F1-score on NER)
NLP	Topic Detection (English)	Cross- Encoder (distilroberta [13])	28.66% (Acc) [GND] 21.34% (Acc) [ASR]
	NER (German)	RoBERTa-large [14]	70.1% (F1-score)[GND] 27.9% (F1-score) [ASR] 30.5 % (F1-score) [boosted-ASR]
	NER (English)	RoBERTa-large [14]	82.8% (F1-score)[GND] 39.7% (F1-score) [ASR] 43.2 % (F1-score) [boosted-ASR]
	Mention network	Co-reference Resolution [15] + Entity position analysis	74.81% [GND] 71.62% [ASR]
Network	Community Detection	Greedy Modularity [16]	32.8% (F1-score)
	Social Influence Analysis	Pagerank [17]	95% (Acc) [ROXANNE network] 79.5% (Acc) [Telephone Network]
	Link Prediction	Jaccard Coefficient [18]	58.8% (Acc) [Top-5 nodes]
	Outlier Detection	Pagerank & Threshold=0.3	100% (Acc)
	Cross- Network Node Matching	Node2Vec and DeepLink [19]	75% (Acc)
Video	Face detection	RetinaFace [20] + ArcFace [21]	98% (recall); 100% (precision)
	Scene characterization	ResNet + ArcFace	70% (recall); 86% (precision)

project, and required close collaboration between partners with technical expertise, data protection and ethics expertise, and LEA partners and their advisers. Prior to the development of ROXSD, the involvement of human participants was discussed with partners with ethical and legal expertise and the project's ethics boards, as well as being approved by a research ethics committee from one of the project partners. Participants were made aware of what their participation would involve and also informed of their rights as volunteers and as data-subjects (including that they are able to withdraw their consent and exercise their data-subject rights at any time). Following data collection, personal data relating to participants was kept only for administration purposes, and are replaced with fictitious or pseudonymised data relating to the characters were portrayed in the dataset. During the project, the data were stored on a secure server at a project partner, and were accessible to project partners upon request.

8. Technologies Evaluated on ROXSD

A summary of different technologies developed on ROXSD is described in Table 2 The technologies are integrated as part of ROXANNE technological platform, a SW which is freely accessible for any LEA from European and academic partners recognized to be active in security-related research. It covers a wide range of applications, from speech and natural language processing to network analysis and video processing. Each technology employs specific methods and models tailored to its task, showcasing a diverse set of approaches and achieving promising results in various domains. In the field of speech, technologies such as Speaker Diarization, close-set and open-set Speaker Identification,it employs sophisticated methods such as Voice Activity Detection, ResNet architecture, and x-vector-based approaches, achieving commendable

results. Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) for English and German utilizes models like XLSR-LFMMI and employs lattice rescoring techniques, showcasing competitive Word Error Rates and F1-scores. Language and Accent Identification leverage embeddings and probabilistic analysis for accurate linguistic characterization. In the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP), technologies involving cross-encoder methodologies and models like DistilRoBERTa demonstrate effectiveness in topic classification, while NER utilizes RoBERTa-large for precise entity identification. Network analysis technologies encompass diverse aspects, from mention networks with co-reference analysis to community detection and social influence analysis using Pagerank algorithms. Standout achievements include 100% accuracy in outlier detection and 75% accuracy in cross-network node matching. Within the video domain, Face Detection and Scene Characterization utilize cutting-edge techniques such as RetinaFace, ArcFace, and ResNet, showcasing high precision and recall. Collectively, these technologies highlight the versatility and efficacy of contemporary tools across varied applications, providing a glimpse into their specific methodologies and performance metrics.

9. Summary and Conclusion

ROXANNE project combined advances in speech, language and video technologies and criminal network analysis for the support of investigators in their daily work especially on large criminal cases, speeding up the investigative processes, as well as reducing the cost and burden to the society caused by organized crime activities. The ROXANNE Simulated Dataset (ROXSD) was designed and collected with the mindset to create a fictional but realistic case that resembles the data which could potentially be collected in a criminal investigation, and one which would enable the evaluation of the different technolo-

gies mentioned above. Its main benefit over existing datasets is its multimodal and multilingual structure, and the availability of extensive ground-truth annotations on the case, story, content and criminal network structure. The authors hope that these features will make ROXSD an interesting and valuable resource for the criminal research community in their increasing need for realistic datasets for their security-related research.

10. References

[1] Maël Fabien, Shantipriya Parida, Petr Motlicek, Dawei Zhu, Aravind Krishnan, and Hoang H. Nguyen, "ROXANNE Research Platform: Automate Criminal Investigations," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2021, pp. 962–964.

[2] Kathryn Oliver, Nick Crossley, Gemma Edwards, Johan Koskinen, M Everett, and C Broccatelli, "Covert networks: structures, processes and types," *University of Manchester, Manchester, UK*, pp. 4–13, 2014.

[3] Zahra Ahmadi, Hoang H Nguyen, Zijian Zhang, Dmytro Bozhkov, Daniel Kudenko, Maria Jofre, Francesco Calderoni, Noa Cohen, and Yosef Solewicz, "Inductive and transductive link prediction for criminal network analysis," *Journal of Computational Science*, vol. 72, pp. 102063, 2023.

[4] Kvetoslav Maly, Gerhard Backfried, Francesco Calderoni, Jan "Honza" Černocký, Erinc Dikici, Maël Fabien, Jan Hořínek, Joshua Hughes, Miroslav Janošík, Marek Kovac, Petr Motlicek, Hoang H. Nguyen, Shantipriya Parida, Johan Rohdin, Miroslav Skácel, Sergej Zerr, Dietrich Klakow, Dawei Zhu, and Aravind Krishnan, "ROXSD: a Simulated Dataset of Communication in Organized Crime," in *Proc. 2021 ISCA Symposium on Security and Privacy in Speech Communication*, 2021, pp. 32–36.

[5] Jiyang Yu, Ravi Ramamoorthi, Keli Cheng, Michel Sarkis, and Ning Bi, "Real-time selfie video stabilization," in *CVPR*, 2021.

[6] Federico Landini, Ján Profant, Mireia Diez, and Lukáš Burget, "Bayesian hmm clustering of x-vector sequences (vbx) in speaker diarization: theory, implementation and analysis on standard tasks," *Computer Speech & Language*, vol. 71, pp. 101254, 2022.

[7] Petr Motlicek, Subhadeep Dey, Srikanth Madikeri, and Lukas Burget, "Employment of subspace gaussian mixture models in speaker recognition," in *ICASSP*, 2015, pp. 4445–4449.

[8] Na Li, Deyi Tuo, Dan Su, Zhifeng Li, Dong Yu, and A Tencent, "Deep discriminative embeddings for duration robust speaker verification.," in *Interspeech*, 2018, pp. 2262–2266.

[9] David Snyder, Daniel Garcia-Romero, Gregory Sell, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur, "X-vectors: Robust dnn embeddings for speaker recognition," in *ICASSP*, 2018, pp. 5329–5333.

[10] Srikanth Madikeri, Banriskhem Khonglah, Sibo Tong, Petr Motlicek, Herve Bourlard, and Daniel Povey, "Lattice-free maximum mutual information training of multilingual speech recognition systems," in *Interspeech*, 2020, pp. 4746–4750.

[11] Dietrich Klakow and Jochen Peters, "Testing the correlation of word error rate and perplexity," *Speech Communication*, vol. 38, no. 1-2, pp. 19–28, 2002.

[12] Sabato Marco Siniscalchi, Torbjorn Svendsen, and Chin-Hui Lee, "A phonetic feature based lattice rescoring approach to lvcsr," in *ICASSP*, 2009, pp. 3865–3868.

[13] Diogo Cortiz, "Exploring transformers models for emotion recognition: a comparision of bert, distilbert, roberta, xlnet and electra," in *Proceedings of ICCRIS*, 2022, pp. 230–234.

[14] Yu Wang, Yining Sun, Zuchang Ma, Lisheng Gao, Yang Xu, and Ting Sun, "Application of pre-training models in named entity recognition," in *IHMSC conference*, 2020, vol. 1, pp. 23–26.

[15] Victor Sanh, Thomas Wolf, and Sebastian Ruder, "A hierarchical multi-task approach for learning embeddings from semantic tasks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.06031*, 2018.

[16] Mingming Chen, Konstantin Kuzmin, and Boleslaw K Szymanski, "Community detection via maximization of modularity and its variants," *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 46–65, 2014.

[17] Qi Liu, Biao Xiang, Nicholas Jing Yuan, Enhong Chen, Hui Xiong, Yi Zheng, and Yu Yang, "An influence propagation view of pagerank," *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data (TKDD)*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1–30, 2017.

[18] Naoki Shibata, Yuya Kajikawa, and Ichiro Sakata, "Link prediction in citation networks," *Journal of the American society for information science and technology*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 78–85, 2012.

[19] Fan Zhou, Lei Liu, Kunpeng Zhang, Goce Trajcevski, Jin Wu, and Ting Zhong, "Deeplink: A deep learning approach for user identity linkage," in *IEEE INFOCOM 2018-IEEE conference on computer communications*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1313–1321.

[20] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Evangelos Ververas, Irene Kotsia, and Stefanos Zafeiriou, "Retinaface: Single-shot multi-level face localisation in the wild," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 5203–5212.

[21] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Xue Niannan, and Stefanos Zafeiriou, "Arcface: Additive angular margin loss for deep face recognition," *arXiv:1801.07698*, 2018.

---

[15] https://www.tracy-project.eu