Predicting Heart Activity from Speech using Data-driven and Knowledge-based features

Gasser Elbanna^{1,2}, Zohreh Mostaani^{2,3}, Mathew Magimai.-Doss²

¹Speech and Hearing Bioscience and Technology, Harvard Medical School, MA, USA ²Idiap Research Institute, Martigny, Switzerland ³Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland

gasser_elbanna@hms.harvard.edu, {zohreh.mostaani, mathew}@idiap.ch

Abstract

Accurately predicting heart activity and other biological signals is crucial for diagnosis and monitoring. Given that speech is an outcome of multiple physiological systems, a significant body of work studied the acoustic correlates of heart activity. Recently, self-supervised models have excelled in speech-related tasks compared to traditional acoustic methods. However, the robustness of data-driven representations in predicting heart activity remained unexplored. In this study, we demonstrate that self-supervised speech models outperform acoustic features in predicting heart activity parameters. We also emphasize the impact of individual variability on model generalizability. These findings underscore the value of datadriven representations in such tasks and the need for more speech-based physiological data to mitigate speaker-related challenges.

Index Terms: Speech processing, Biological signals, ECG, Heart Rate, Self-supervised learning

1. Introduction

In the contemporary era of telemedicine, harnessing ubiquitous biological signals such as human speech has been of great importance. Thanks to the advances in machine learning, a significant body of research has emerged, exploring paralinguistic analysis in speech. This multi-faceted discipline encompasses tasks such as detecting various stress indicators [1, 2], predicting emotional states [3], and modeling physiological parameters such as heart activity [4], respiration patterns [5], and skin conductance responses [6].

There has been an effort to examine the association between speech signals and heart activity. Orlikoff et al. [7] in one of the very early studies showed that cardio vascular system can influence the vocal fundamental frequency (F0) indicating that the absolute F0 perturbation (jitter) during a sustained phonation could vary between 0.5% to 20%. In other studies, heart activity was studied in relation with speech in different emotional states. Williams et al. [8] demonstrated that the emotion variation might cause an increase in blood pressure (BP), heart rate (HR), sub-glottal pressure, and the depth of respiratory movements. James et al. [9] suggested a strong correlation between speech, emotion, and heart rate using spectral features from speech. Smith et al. [10] showed that HR increases when the person is speaking compared to when they are silent and this increase is greater when they are frustrated. In another study [11], the HR was predicted using linear models in different emotional states. Jati et al. [12] predicted physiological signals from speech during stressful conversations.

However, the influence of inter- and intra-individual variability on the efficacy of speech-based models remains relatively unexplored. For example in [6, 13, 10, 14], it has been shown that acoustic features, in particular spectral features, can be used as good predictors of heart activity parameters like beats per minute (BPM) in the context of regression and classification tasks. Nevertheless, the speaker-dependent data split used in these efforts might be confounding the reported performance since both training and testing sets have included data samples belonging to the same speakers. Furthermore, an interesting study conducted by [4] has addressed the speaker-dependency in their experimental setup showing the superior performance achieved using speaker-dependent split over leave-one-speakerout (LOSO) approach. However, the level of variability between and within speakers has not been examined.

Part of the challenge in properly addressing these confounding parameters has been manifested in the lack of large speech corpora that includes recorded biological signals, leading to overfitting models on speakers' samples. One way to alleviate this limitation is to pre-train models on large scale corpora and evaluate the pre-trained models on downstream paralinguistic tasks with limited data samples. One major breakthrough in this domain is introducing self-supervised models (SSMs). These are models trained to optimize a certain task objective without using labels. Consequently, several benchmarks have evaluated the predictive power of these data-driven representations on paralinguistic tasks [3, 15] as well as speech [16] and audio tasks in general [17]. Additionally, SSMs outperformed acoustic and knowledge-based features on multiple audio-based tasks.

In this study, we evaluate, for the first time, the ability of SSMs to be used for predicting heart activity parameters such as BPM and heart rate variability (HRV) and assess its predictive power compared to acoustic features. Importantly, we highlight the impact of both inter- and intra-individual variability on performance and generalizability. We further examine the optimal context window duration of speech for this task. Lastly, we pinpoint the salient features for this task and compare it to previous work in literature. Collectively, these analyses highlight the importance of collecting data systematically to provide better understanding of the underlying physiological variability across individuals.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We introduce our proposed method and the database used in Section 2. The results has been given in Section 3. We conclude the paper in Section 4.

2. Materials & Methods

2.1. Dataset

In this work, we use Ulm-TSST database presented in the MuSe challenges [18, 19]. This corpus included 69 German-speaking



Figure 1: Training pipeline for predicting BPM and HRV values from knowledge-based and data-driven speech representations.

subjects (49 Females and 20 Males) performing free speech tasks following the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) protocol [20]. Multiple physiological signals such as ECG, BPM, respiration (RESP), and EDA were captured during the speech tasks at a sampling rate of 1 kHz. This dataset was originally used to study emotions (i.e., arousal and valence) of people in stressful dispositions. However, in this paper, we are only interested in the recorded heart activity (ECG).

2.2. Data Preprocessing

The utterances were originally acquired with 6 channels. We select the channel that featured the highest loudness (the first channel). Then, we re-sample all mono-channel utterances to 16 kHz and standardize them. Subsequently, we chunk the data into clips of varying window sizes ranging from 3 to 5 seconds with a hop size of 500 ms. The ECG signals are preprocessed using NeuroKit package¹. BPM is computed from the ECG signal using the same package. Then, for each audio clip, we extract the corresponding ECG signal and compute HRV. Lastly, we compute the average value for BPM and HRV for each clip to have one value per audio sample/clip.

2.3. Speech Feature Extraction

We compared the performance of knowledge-based features against speech representations generated from a pre-trained self-supervised model.

Knowledge-based: We use openSMILE [21], an open source toolkit for extracting low-level descriptors from audio utterances. We extract two commonly-used feature sets from openSMILE, namely eGeMAPS (88 features) [22] and Com-ParE (6373 features) [23].

Data-driven: We use Hybrid BYOL-S model [2], a selfsupervised model derived from Bootstrap Your Own Latent (BYOL-A) learning framework [24]. BYOL-A learns generalpurpose audio representations from juxtaposing two augmented views of a single input utterance. The two augmented views are fed to two networks, an online and a target network. The task objective involves the online network predicting the generated representations from the target network. The new variant of BYOL-A (i.e. Hybrid BYOL-S) is a speech-specific derivation that trains the online network to predict data-driven representations from the target network as well as knowledge-based representations (ComParE features) simultaneously yielding robust speech embeddings of size 2048. We selected Hybrid BYOL-S due to its superior performance on multiple audio downstream tasks [25] as part of the HEAR 2021 challenge [17].

2.4. Evaluation Pipeline

We evaluate the performance of the three feature sets to predict BPM and HRV. To examine the generalizability of the methods to various speakers, we create two data splits, one speakerindependent split where we used 70% of the speakers (N=48) for training and hold out 30% (N=21) for testing. The second split is speaker-dependent in which we keep 70% of the clips for each speaker in the training set and the remaining clips per speaker are evaluated. Additionally, we train speaker-specific regression models for each speaker where the model is only trained on data samples from one speaker and these samples are split into 70% and 30% for training and testing, respectively. For each experiment, multiple regressors are used such as Ridge regression, Random Forrest (RF), Gradient boosting tree (GBT), and multi-layer perceptron (MLP). We run a gridsearch for hyperparameters that are model-specific. In case of speaker-independent, we run a 5-fold group shuffle split where speakers in the training set were further divided into train and validation sets (70% and 30%, respectively). Thus, ensuring that the validation set includes unseen speakers during training with cross validation. On the other hand, when running speaker-dependent experiments, we use a 5-fold time series split where the training samples for all speakers are split into train and validation (70% and 30%, respectively) while considering the temporal dependency between the data samples. Lastly, for speaker-specific, we train regression models on data samples from a single speaker using 5-fold time series split as well. After training the regression models, we report performance on a held out test set using coefficient of determination (R^2) score as well as Pearson's correlation coefficient, as illustrated in Figure 1.

3. Results & Analysis

Figure 2 illustrates the performance of candidate speech representations on predicting BPM and HRV under two different speaker conditions for unseen test sets; speaker-dependent and speaker-independent protocols. We report the R^2 and Pearson's correlation between the predicted and the ground truth. The plotted distributions highlight the performance across different regressors, targets (i.e., BPM and HRV), and window sizes (i.e., 3, 4, and 5 seconds). We show that Hybrid BYOL-S outperforms knowledge-based features in a speaker-dependent setting. Whereas, all representations perform equally poorly in the

¹https://neuropsychology.github.io/NeuroKit/ functions/ecg.html



Figure 2: Performance of different speech features in both speaker conditions. The reported distributions show the evaluation across multiple regressors and window sizes as well as the performance for predicting both targets (i.e. BPM and HRV).

speaker-independent setting. This result highlight the limitations of generalizability of speech features for this downstream task.

Moreover, we study the effect of context window duration on performance. Figure 3 shows that increasing the window size improves performance in all feature candidates. This figure reports the Pearson's correlation on a speaker-dependent test set using GBT (best-performing regressor) for both targets. Importantly, we observe significant improvement between 3 sec window and 4 sec window while between 4 sec and 5 sec duration performance is relatively overlapping.



Figure 3: Performance of different speech features with varying context window duration. The reported distributions show the evaluation using speaker-dependent and GBT regression model for predicting both targets (i.e., BPM and HRV).



Figure 4: Predictions from GBT model using Hybrid BYOL-S features with 5 sec window size. Predictions are shown for speakers 52, 13, and 46, respectively.

Given the discrepancy between both speaker-related protocols, we further train regression models on data samples from a single speaker resulting in building a model per speaker (i.e., speaker-specific protocol). Figure 5 demonstrates the high variability in performance across a randomly selected sample of 20 speakers. In this figure, we report the Pearson's correlation evaluated on Hybrid BYOL-S to predict BPM and HRV across different window sizes.

We further plot the predictions from three speakers; the speaker exhibiting the lowest correlation (i.e., speaker 52), the speaker with the highest positive correlation (i.e., speaker 46) and the speaker with the highest negative correlation (i.e., speaker 13), as shown in Figure 4. This figure provides insights regarding the model's ability to capture the variability within a single speaker.

Furthermore, we opted to pinpoint the salient acoustic features for predicting heart activity. Thus, we perform feature importance on the trained GBT regression model using eGeMAPS and ComParE features. Figure 6 shows the top 10 features for each feature set that contribute to predicting both BPM and HRV. The feature importance is computed from the best estimator and ranked accordingly.

4. Discussion & Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the robustness of acoustic and datadriven features to predict heart activity parameters. We showed that Hybrid BYOL-S, a self-supervised model, outperformed acoustic features on this downstream task. This finding aligns with previous work showing the feasibility of using speech representations as predictors for heart activity [6, 14]. Additionally, we highlight the significance of leveraging data-driven, in particular SSMs, representational spaces for better predictive power.

Nevertheless, we noticed that all features performed equally poorly in the speaker-independent protocol as shown in Figure 2. This result exposes the limitations of these features and their sensitivity to inter-individual variability confirming the work done in [4]. Importantly, this dataset was originally collected to study speech as a biomarker for stress. Accordingly, one might hypothesize that the high variability in heart activity might have been a consequence of variability in stress response across individuals. Hence, the inability of models to generalize across speakers. Thus, it is crucial for future work to consider emotional states and cognitive aspects as confounding variables for predicting heart activity from speech. We further observe varying correlation scores when training models on a single speaker



Figure 5: Performance of Hybrid BYOL-S features for speaker-specific protocol across all window sizes (3, 4, 5 seconds) of audio and a GBT regressor model. The figure shows a random sample of 20 speakers for both targets BPM and HRV



Figure 6: Feature importance showing top 10 acoustic features for both targets BPM and HRV extracted from both openSMILE feature sets eGeMAPS and ComParE.

data as in Figure 5. The poor performance in some speakers indicates that even intra-individual variability plays a role as well in hindering the model to properly predict unseen data samples from the same speaker which has not been previously discussed. Moreover, we demonstrated that increasing the context window duration more than 3 sec provides significantly better predictions.

Lastly, we ran feature importance as shown in Figure 6 and found that spectral features and loudness were the most important acoustic features for this downstream task which line up with the previous findings in literature [7, 13, 6]. These results together provide insights on the robustness and limitations of acoustic and data-driven features in studying heart activity as well as shed light on the importance of adding the mental state as an essential dimension to study the relationship between speech and physiological signals such as heart activity.

5. Acknowledgments

This work was partially funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation through the project Towards Integrated processing of Physiological and Speech signals (TIPS), grant no. 200021_188754. The first author carried out this work as an intern at the Idiap Research Institute, Martigny, Switzerland.

6. References

- A. Gallardo-Antolín and J. M. Montero, "A Saliency-Based Attention LSTM Model for Cognitive Load Classification from Speech," in *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, 2019, pp. 216–220.
- [2] G. Elbanna et al., "Hybrid Handcrafted and Learnable Audio Representation for Analysis of Speech Under Cognitive and Physical Load," in Proc. Interspeech 2022, 2022, pp. 386–390.
- [3] N. Scheidwasser-Clow *et al.*, "Serab: A multi-lingual benchmark for speech emotion recognition," in *Proceedings of ICASSP*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 7697–7701.
- [4] B. Schuller *et al.*, "Automatic recognition of physiological parameters in the human voice: Heart rate and skin conductance," in *Proceedings of ICASSP*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 7219–7223.
- [5] V. S. Nallanthighal *et al.*, "Deep learning architectures for estimating breathing signal and respiratory parameters from speech recordings," *Neural Networks*, vol. 141, pp. 211–224, 2021.
- [6] B. W. Schuller *et al.*, "The munich biovoice corpus: Effects of physical exercising, heart rate, and skin conductance on human speech production." in *LREC*, 2014, pp. 1506–1510.
- [7] R. F. Orlikoff and R. Baken, "The effect of the heartbeat on vocal fundamental frequency perturbation," *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 576–582, 1989.
- [8] C. E. Williams and K. N. Stevens, "Emotions and speech: Some acoustical correlates," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 52, no. 4B, pp. 1238–1250, 1972.
- [9] A. P. James, "Heart rate monitoring using human speech spectral features," *Human-centric Computing and Information Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 33, 2015.
- [10] J. Smith *et al.*, "Analysis and prediction of heart rate using speech features from natural speech," in *Proceedings of ICASSP*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 989–993.
- [11] A. Ryskaliyev *et al.*, "Speech signal analysis for the estimation of heart rates under different emotional states," in *Proceedings of ICASSP*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1160–1165.
- [12] A. Jati et al., "Towards predicting physiology from speech during stressful conversations: Heart rate and respiratory sinus arrhythmia," in *Proceedings of ICASSP*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 4944–4948.
- [13] A. Mesleh *et al.*, "Heart rate extraction from vowel speech signals," *Journal of computer science and technology*, vol. 27, pp. 1243–1251, 2012.
- [14] M. Usman *et al.*, "Heart rate detection and classification from speech spectral features using machine learning," *Archives of Acoustics*, vol. 46, 2021.
- [15] J. Shor et al., "Towards Learning a Universal Non-Semantic Representation of Speech," in Proc. Interspeech 2020, 2020, pp. 140– 144.
- [16] S. wen Yang, P.-H. Chi, Y.-S. Chuang, C.-I. J. Lai, K. Lakhotia, Y. Y. Lin, A. T. Liu, J. Shi, X. Chang, G.-T. Lin, T.-H. Huang, W.-C. Tseng, K. tik Lee, D.-R. Liu, Z. Huang, S. Dong, S.-W. Li, S. Watanabe, A. Mohamed, and H. yi Lee, "SUPERB: Speech Processing Universal PERformance Benchmark," in *Proc. Inter*speech 2021, 2021, pp. 1194–1198.
- [17] J. Turian et al., "Hear: Holistic evaluation of audio representations," in *NeurIPS 2021 Competitions and Demonstrations Track*. PMLR, 2022, pp. 125–145.
- [18] L. Stappen *et al.*, "The muse 2021 multimodal sentiment analysis challenge: sentiment, emotion, physiological-emotion, and stress," in *Proceedings of the 2nd on Multimodal Sentiment Analysis Challenge*, 2021, pp. 5–14.
- [19] L. Christ et al., "The muse 2022 multimodal sentiment analysis challenge: humor, emotional reactions, and stress," in Proceedings of the 3rd International on Multimodal Sentiment Analysis Workshop and Challenge, 2022, pp. 5–14.
- [20] C. Kirschbaum *et al.*, "The 'trier social stress test'–a tool for investigating psychobiological stress responses in a laboratory setting," *Neuropsychobiology*, vol. 28, no. 1-2, pp. 76–81, 1993.

- [21] F. Eyben et al., "Opensmile: the munich versatile and fast opensource audio feature extractor," in Proceedings of the 18th ACM international conference on Multimedia, 2010, pp. 1459–1462.
- [22] —, "The geneva minimalistic acoustic parameter set (gemaps) for voice research and affective computing," *IEEE transactions on affective computing*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 190–202, 2015.
- [23] B. Schuller *et al.*, "The INTERSPEECH 2013 computational paralinguistics challenge: social signals, conflict, emotion, autism," in *Proc. Interspeech 2013*, 2013, pp. 148–152.
- [24] D. Niizumi *et al.*, "Byol for audio: Self-supervised learning for general-purpose audio representation," in 2021 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN). IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–8.
- [25] G. Elbanna *et al.*, "Byol-s: Learning self-supervised speech representations by bootstrapping," in *HEAR: Holistic Evaluation of Audio Representations*. PMLR, 2022, pp. 25–47.